arXiv:1212.5168v1 [math.OA] 20 Dec 2012

WEAK-TYPE INTERPOLATION FOR NONCOMMUTATIVE MAXIMAL OPERATORS

SJOERD DIRKSEN

Abstract. We prove a Boyd-type interpolation result for noncommutative maximal operators of restricted weak type. Our result positively answers an open question in [1]. As a special case, we find a weak type version of the noncommutative Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem due to Junge and Xu.

1. Introduction

To any sequence $(T_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of sublinear operators on a space of measurable functions one can associate the operator

$$Tf(x) = \Big(\sup_{n\geq 1} T_n\Big)(f)(x) := \sup_{n\geq 1} |T_n f(x)|.$$

A function of the form Tf is usually called a *maximal function*. We shall refer to T as the *maximal operator* of the sequence $(T_n)_{n\geq 1}$. These operators occur naturally in many situations in harmonic analysis and probability theory. One is often interested to show that a maximal operator defines a bounded sublinear operator on an L^p-space or, more generally, on a Banach function space. For instance, the celebrated Doob maximal inequality states that for any increasing sequence of conditional expectations and any $1 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\Big\| \sup_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{E}_n(f)\Big\|_{L^p} \leq c_p \|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{1}$$

A fruitful strategy to prove maximal inequalities with sharp constants is to first prove weak type estimates for the maximal operator. Let $(\mathcal{A},\Sigma,\nu)$ be a σ-finite measure space. Recall that a sublinear operator T is of Marcinkiewicz weak type (or *M-weak type*) (p,p) if for any $f \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\nu(|Tf| > v)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq Cv^{-1}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{A})} \qquad (v > 0), \tag{2}$$

If T is bounded in L^p then T is said to be of strong type (p,p). To prove (1) one may first show that $\sup_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{E}_n$ is of M-weak type $(1,1)$ and strong type (∞,∞) and then apply Marcinkiewicz' interpolation theorem. This yields a constant c_p of optimal order $\mathcal{O}((p-1)^{-1})$ as $p \downarrow 1$. More generally, Boyd's interpolation theorem implies that $\sup_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{E}_n$ is bounded on any symmetric Banach function space with lower Boyd index $p_E > 1$. Weak type interpolation has proven effective for a host of maximal inequalities (see e.g. [2, 14] for examples).

In this paper we deal with interpolation questions for maximal operators of weak type which correspond to a sequence of positive, sublinear operators on noncommutative symmetric spaces associated with a semi-finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. In this setting, the maximal operator $\sup_{n\geq 1} T_n$ is a fictitious object: for example, if $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M})_+$ then $\sup_{n\geq 1} T_n(x)$ may not exist in terms of the standard ordering

---

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative symmetric spaces, noncommutative maximal inequalities, interpolation theory.

This research was supported by the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics.

of $L^p(\mathcal{M})_+$. Indeed, even for two positive semi-definite $n \times n$ matrices x, y there may not be a matrix z satisfying

$$\langle z\xi, \xi\rangle = \max\{\langle x\xi, \xi\rangle, \langle y\xi, \xi\rangle\} \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

Even though $\sup_{n\geq 1} T_n(x)$ is not well-defined as an operator, one can still make sense of the quantity '$\| \sup_{n\geq 1} T_n(x)\|_p$' by viewing the sequence $(T_n(x))_{n\geq 1}$ as an element of the noncommutative vector-valued space $L^p(\mathcal{M}; l^\infty)$, as introduced by Pisier [13]. With this point of view, Junge showed in his beautiful paper [10] that one can obtain a noncommutative extension of (1). He proved that for any increasing sequence of conditional expectations in $\mathcal{M}$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n(x))_{n\geq 1}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M};l^\infty)} \leq C_p \|x\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})}. \tag{3}$$

More recently, Junge and Xu [11] proved a Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem for noncommutative maximal operators (see Theorem 3.3 below). This result allows one to prove (3) elegantly by interpolating between the M-weak type $(1, 1)$-maximal inequality for conditional expectations obtained by Cuculescu [5] and the trivial case $p = \infty$. This approach yields a constant C_p of optimal order $\mathcal{O}((p-1)^{-2})$ as $p \downarrow 1$.

The purpose of the present paper is to prove interpolation results for noncommutative maximal operators of *restricted* weak type (see Definition 3.1 for a precise statement). Recall that in the classical case, this means that (2) is only required to hold for indicator functions $f = \chi_A$, where A is any set of finite measure. Our main result is the following Boyd-type interpolation theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$, let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be semi-finite von Neumann algebras and let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with Boyd indices $p_E \leq q_E$. Suppose that $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ is a net of order preserving, sublinear maps which is of restricted weak types (p, p) and (q, q). If $p < p' < p_E$ and either $q_E < q' < q < \infty$ or $q = \infty$, then for any $x \in E(\mathcal{M})_+$,*

$$\|(T_\alpha(x))_{\alpha\in A}\|_{E(\mathcal{N};l^\infty)} \leq C_{p,p',q,q'} \|S_{p',q'}\|_{E\to E}\ \|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}, \tag{4}$$

where $S_{p,',q'}$ is Calderón's operator and $C_{p,p',q,q'}$ is of order $\mathcal{O}((p'-p)^{-1})$ as $p' \downarrow p$ and of order $\mathcal{O}((q-q')^{-1})$ as $q' \uparrow q$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 elaborates on some arguments from the recent paper [1], in which Bekjan, Chen and Osękowski proved this result for maximal operators of M-weak type (p, p) and strong type (∞, ∞), with a larger interpolation constant. If $E = L^r$ for $p < r < q$, then our result gives an extension of the interpolation theorem in [11] to noncommutative maximal operators of restricted weak type. In this case, the constant in (4) is of order $\mathcal{O}((r-p)^{-2})$ as $r \downarrow p$ and $\mathcal{O}((q-r)^{-2})$ as $r \uparrow q$. In particular, if $p = 1$ and $q = \infty$ then Theorem 1.1 implies (3) with constant of optimal order. In this sense, the constant in (4) cannot be improved.

We conclude this note by observing an interpolation result for the generalized moments of noncommutative maximal operators (Theorem 5.5), which resolves an open question in [1].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We start by briefly recalling some relevant terminology. Let $S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be the linear space of all measurable, a.e. finite functions f on $\mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy $\lambda(|f| > v) < \infty$ for some $v > 0$, where λ is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$. For any $f \in S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ let $\mu(f)$ denote its decreasing rearrangement

$$\mu_t(f) = \inf\{v > 0\ :\ \lambda(|f| > v) \leq t\} \qquad (t \geq 0).$$

A normed linear subspace E of $S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is called a *symmetric Banach function space* if it is complete and if for any $f \in S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $f \in E$ satisfying $\mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$, we have $f \in E$ and $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$. Let H be the Hardy-Littlewood operator

$$Hf(t) = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \mu_t(f)\ dt \qquad (f \in S(\mathbb{R}_+)).$$

For $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we write $f \prec\prec g$ if $Hf \leq Hg$. We say that a symmetric Banach function space E is *fully symmetric* if for any $f \in S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $g \in E$ satisfying $f \prec\prec g$, we have $f \in E$ and $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$.

Fix a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ acting on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$, which is equipped with a normal, semi-finite, faithful trace τ. Let $S(\tau)$ denote the linear space of all τ-measurable operators and let $S(\tau)_+$ be its positive cone. For any $x \in S(\tau)$ its decreasing rearrangement is defined by

$$\mu_t(x) = \inf\{v > 0\ :\ \tau(\lambda_{(v,\infty)}(x)) \leq t\} \qquad (t \geq 0),$$

where $\lambda(x)$ denotes the spectral measure of $|x|$. Suppose that $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i$, with $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \ldots > \alpha_n > 0$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ projections in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying $e_i e_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\tau(e_i) < \infty$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. As in the commutative case (see [2]), it is elementary to show that

$$\mu(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \chi_{[\rho_{j-1},\rho_j)}, \tag{5}$$

where $\rho_0 = 0$, $\rho_j = \sum_{i=1}^j \tau(p_i)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We will also use the submajorization inequality (see [8], Theorem 4.4)

$$\mu(x+y) \prec\prec \mu(x) + \mu(y) \qquad (x, y \in S(\tau)). \tag{6}$$

If E is a symmetric Banach function space on $\mathbb{R}_+$, then we define the associated noncommutative symmetric space

$$E(\mathcal{M}) := \{x \in S(\tau) :\ \|\mu(x)\|_E < \infty\}.$$

The space $E(\mathcal{M})$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} := \|\mu(x)\|_E$. For $E = L^p$ this construction yields the usual noncommutative L^p-spaces.

For any directed set A we let $E(\mathcal{M}; l^\infty(A))_+$ denote the set of all nets $x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ in $E(\mathcal{M})_+$ for which there exists an $a \in E(\mathcal{M})_+$ such that $x_\alpha \leq a$ for all $\alpha \in A$. For these elements we set

$$\|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M};l^\infty)} := \inf\{\|a\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}\ :\ x_\alpha \leq a \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathrm{A}\}.$$

One may show that, up to a constant depending only on E, this expression coincides with the norm of the noncommutative symmetric l^∞-valued space $E(\mathcal{M}; l^\infty)$ introduced in [6].

Let us finally fix the following notation. For any set A we let χ_A be its indicator. Also, we write $u \lesssim_\alpha v$ if $u \leq c_\alpha v$ for some constant c_α depending *only* on α.

3. Three flavors of weak type

Before defining the different types of maximal operators, let us recall the classical notions of weak type and restricted weak type for a sublinear operator T on $S(\mathbb{R}_+)$. For $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ let $L^{p,q}$ denote the Lorentz spaces on $\mathbb{R}_+$, i.e., the subspace of all g in $S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$\|g\|_{L^{p,q}} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{q}{p}-1}\mu_t(g)^q\ dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & (0 < q < \infty), \\ \sup_{0<t<\infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}}\mu_t(g) & (q = \infty), \end{cases}$$

is finite. Given $0 < p < \infty$, we say that T is of weak type (p,p) if there is a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\lambda(|Tf| > v)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_p v^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)}. \tag{7}$$

An operator T is of restricted weak type (p,p) (as introduced by Stein and Weiss in [15]) if (7) holds only for indicators $g=\chi_A$, where A is any measurable set A of finite measure. As is well known, for a given $0<p<\infty$,

$$\text{strong type} \;\Rightarrow\; \text{M}-\text{weak type} \;\Rightarrow\; \text{weak type} \;\Rightarrow\; \text{restricted weak type.}$$

and the reverse implications do not hold in general.

For our discussion below we recall the following characterization of weak type operators due to Calderón. For $0<p<q<\infty$ we define *Calderón's operator* $S_{p,q}$ as the linear operator

$$S_{p,q}g(t)=\tfrac{1}{p}t^{-\frac{1}{p}}\int_0^t s^{\frac{1}{p}}g(s)\frac{ds}{s}+\tfrac{1}{q}t^{-\frac{1}{q}}\int_t^\infty s^{\frac{1}{q}}g(s)\frac{ds}{s} \qquad (t>0,\ g\in S(\mathbb{R}_+))$$

and for $0<p<\infty$ we set

$$S_{p,\infty}g(t)=\tfrac{1}{p}t^{-\frac{1}{p}}\int_0^t s^{\frac{1}{p}}g(s)\frac{ds}{s} \qquad (t>0,\ g\in S(\mathbb{R}_+)).$$

In [4] Calderón proved that a sublinear operator T on $S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is simultaneously of weak types (p,p) and (q,q) if and only if it satisfies

$$\mu_t(Tg)\lesssim_{p,q}\big(S_{p,q}\mu(g)\big)(t) \qquad (\text{for all } g\in S(\mathbb{R}_+)). \tag{8}$$

In fact, Calderón's proof shows that T is of restricted weak types (p,p) and (q,q) if and only if it satisfies

$$\mu_t(T\chi_A)\lesssim_{p,q}\big(S_{p,q}\mu(\chi_A)\big)(t), \tag{9}$$

for any measurable set A of finite measure.

We now extend these definitions to noncommutative maximal operators. Throughout, let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be von Neumann algebras equipped with normal, semi-finite, faithful traces τ and σ, respectively. For any projection e we set $e^\perp:=1-e$. Also, if f is another projection, then we let $e\vee f$ and $e\wedge f$ denote the supremum and infimum, respectively, of e and f.

Definition 3.1. For any $0<r<\infty$ we say that a net $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ of maps $T_\alpha: L^r(\mathcal{M})_+\to S(\sigma)_+$ is of *M-weak type* (r,r) if there is a constant $C_r>0$ such that for any $x\in L^r(\mathcal{M})_+$ and any $\theta>0$, there exists a projection $e^{(\theta)}=e^{(\theta)}_x$ satisfying

$$\sigma((e^{(\theta)})^\perp)\leq(C_r\theta^{-1})^r\|x\|^r_{L^r(\mathcal{M})} \ \text{ and } \ e^{(\theta)}T_\alpha(x)e^{(\theta)}\leq\theta, \ \text{for all } \alpha\in A. \tag{10}$$

A net $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ of maps $T_\alpha: L^{r,1}(\mathcal{M})_+\to S(\sigma)_+$ is of *restricted weak type* (r,r) if there is a constant $C_r>0$ such that for any projection f in $L^{r,1}(\mathcal{M})_+$ and any $\theta>0$, there is a projection $e^{(\theta)}=e^{(\theta)}_f$ such that

$$\sigma((e^{(\theta)})^\perp)\leq(C_r\theta^{-1})^r\tau(f) \ \text{ and } \ e^{(\theta)}T_\alpha(f)e^{(\theta)}\leq\theta, \ \text{for all } \alpha\in A. \tag{11}$$

A net $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ of maps $T_\alpha:\mathcal{M}_+\to\mathcal{N}_+$ is of *restricted weak type* (∞,∞) if there is a constant $C_\infty>0$ such that for any projection f in $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\sup_{\alpha\in A}\|T_\alpha(f)\|_\infty\leq C_\infty.$$

A net $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ of maps $T_\alpha: L^r(\mathcal{M})_+\to S(\sigma)_+$ is of *strong type* (r,r) if

$$\|(T_\alpha(x))_{\alpha\in A}\|_{L^r(\mathcal{N};l^\infty)}\leq C_r\|x\|_{L^r(\mathcal{M})}.$$

In the commutative case, a sequence $(T_n)_{n\geq1}$ is of restricted weak type (r,r) in the sense of Definition 3.1 if $\sup_{n\geq1}T_n$ is of restricted weak type (r,r) in the classical sense. Indeed, in this case one may take $e^{(\theta)}=\chi_{[0,\theta]}(\sup_{n\geq1}T_n(f))$. Thus, loosely speaking, (11) states that the fictitious noncommutative maximal operator '$\sup_\alpha T_\alpha$' is of restricted weak type (r,r).

Remark 3.2. *In the noncommutative literature (e.g. in [1, 11]), it has become customary to refer to property (10) as* weak type, *instead of M-weak type. The terminology used here is in accordance with the classical literature on interpolation theory.*

We will often assume that the maps T_α satisfy additional properties. We call a map $T : S(\tau)_+ \to S(\sigma)_+$ *sublinear* if

$$T(cx + dy) \leq cT(x) + dT(y) \qquad (c, d \in \mathbb{R}_+, x, y \in S(\tau)_+)$$

and *order preserving* if $T(x) \leq T(y)$ whenever $x \leq y$ in $S(\tau)_+$.

Using real interpolation and duality techniques Junge and Xu proved the following version of Marcinkiewicz' interpolation theorem for noncommutative maximal operators.

Theorem 3.3. *([11], Theorem 3.1) Let $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$. If a net $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ of positive, subadditive maps (T_α) is of M-weak type (p, p) and strong type (q, q), then for any $p < r < q$,*

$$\|(T_\alpha(x))_{\alpha\in A}\|_{L^r(\mathcal{N};l^\infty)} \lesssim C_p^{1-\theta} C_q^{\theta} \Big(\frac{rp}{r-p}\Big)^2 \|x\|_{L^r(\mathcal{M})}, \tag{12}$$

where θ is chosen such that $1/r = (1 - \theta)/p + \theta/q$.

In Corollary 5.3 below we will obtain an extension of this result to maximal operators of restricted weak types (p, p) and (q, q).

4. A Calderón-type bound for maximal operators of weak type

Our starting point for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the characterization (9). A first thought is to attempt to establish the following direct generalization: if (T_α) is of restricted weak types (p, p) and (q, q) then one may try to find, for every projection f, a positive measurable operator a satisfying $T_\alpha(f) \leq a$ for all α and

$$\mu_t(a) \lesssim_{p,q} \big(S_{p,q}\mu(f)\big)(t) \qquad (t > 0).$$

Unfortunately, if $\mathcal{N}$ is noncommutative then this assertion is in general false, as it would imply that the noncommutative Doob inequality (3) holds with constant of order $\mathcal{O}((p - 1)^{-1})$ for $p \downarrow 1$. However, we can find a bound of the form

$$\mu_t(a) \leq C_{p,p',q,q'} \big(S_{p',q'}\mu(f)\big)(t),$$

where $p < p' < q' < q$ and $C_{p,p',q,q'}$ is singular as $p' \downarrow p$ and $q' \uparrow q$.

For $0 < p, q < \infty$ we introduce the constants

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{p,q} &= 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \max\{C_p(1 - 2^{-p})^{-\frac{1}{p}}, C_q(1 - 2^{-q})^{-\frac{1}{q}}\}, \\ \kappa_{p,\infty} &= \max\{C_p(1 - 2^{-p})^{-\frac{1}{p}}, C_\infty\}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where C_p and C_q are the restricted weak type (p, p) and (q, q) constants in (11). If $p, q \geq 1$ then $\kappa_{p,q} \leq 4 \max\{C_p, C_q\}$ and $\kappa_{p,\infty} \leq 2 \max\{C_p, C_\infty\}$. Also, for $p < p' < q' < q < \infty$ we set

$$\gamma_{p,p'} = \sum_{k\leq 0} 2^{(k-1)(1-\frac{p}{p'})}, \qquad \delta_{q,q'} = \sum_{k>0} 2^{(k-1)(1-\frac{q}{q'})}.$$

Note that

$$\gamma_{p,p'} = \mathcal{O}((p' - p)^{-1}) \text{ as } p' \downarrow p, \qquad \delta_{q,q'} = \mathcal{O}((q - q')^{-1}) \text{ as } q' \uparrow q.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Fix $0 < p < q \leq \infty$. Let $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ be a net of positive maps which is of restricted weak types (p,p) and (q,q). Let $p < p' < q' < q$. If $q < \infty$ and f is a projection in $L^{p,1}(\mathcal{M})_+ + L^{q,1}(\mathcal{M})_+$, then there exists a constant $K_{p,p',q,q'}$ and an $a \in S(\sigma)_+$ such that*

$$T_\alpha(f) \leq a \qquad (\alpha \in A) \tag{14}$$

and

$$\mu_t(a) \leq \kappa_{p,q} K_{p,p',q,q'}\ S_{p',q'}\mu(f)(t) \qquad (t > 0). \tag{15}$$

Moreover, the constant $K_{p,p',q,q'}$ satisfies

$$K_{p,p',q,q'} \leq 4\max\{\gamma_{p,p'}, \delta_{q,q'}\}. \tag{16}$$

If $q = \infty$, then for every projection $f \in \mathcal{M}_+$ there exists a constant $K_{p,p',\infty,\infty} \leq 4\gamma_{p,p'}$ and an $a \in \mathcal{N}_+$ satisfying (14) and

$$\mu_t(a) \leq \kappa_{p,\infty} K_{p,p',\infty,\infty}\ S_{p',\infty}\mu(f)(t) \qquad (t > 0). \tag{17}$$

Proof. We use the functions $\theta_{p,q} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, which for $0 < p,q \leq \infty$ are defined by

$$\theta_{p,q}(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{p}}\chi_{[1,\infty)}(t) + t^{-\frac{1}{q}}\chi_{(0,1)}(t) \qquad (t > 0)$$

and for $0 < p < \infty$ given by

$$\theta_{p,\infty}(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{p}}\chi_{[1,\infty)}(t) + \chi_{(0,1)}(t) \qquad (t > 0).$$

We first prove the result for $q < \infty$. In this case $\tau(f) < \infty$. Using the change of variable $s = \frac{t}{u}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{p,q}\mu(f)(t) &= \int_0^1 \mu_{\frac{t}{u}}(f)\tfrac{1}{q}u^{-1-\frac{1}{q}}du + \int_1^\infty \mu_{\frac{t}{u}}(f)\tfrac{1}{p}u^{-1-\frac{1}{p}}du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \chi_{(0,\tau(f)]}\Big(\frac{t}{u}\Big)(-\theta'_{p,q}(u))du \\ &= \theta_{p,q}\Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Thus, we need to find an $a \in S(\sigma)_+$ satisfying (14) and

$$\mu_t(a) \leq \kappa_{p,q}K_{p,p',q,q'}\theta_{p',q'}\Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big) \qquad (t > 0). \tag{19}$$

Let us first assume that $\kappa_{p,q} \leq 1$. For any $\theta > 1$ fix a projection $e_q^{(\theta)}$ satisfying (11) for $r = q$ and for $0 < \theta \leq 1$ we pick $e_p^{(\theta)}$ such that (11) holds for $r = p$. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$e_k = \Big(\bigwedge_{l\geq k} e_q^{(2^l)}\Big) \quad (k > 0), \qquad e_k = \Big(\bigwedge_{0\geq l\geq k} e_p^{(2^l)}\Big) \wedge \Big(\bigwedge_{l\geq 0} e_q^{(2^l)}\Big) \quad (k \leq 0)$$

and we set

$$d_k = e_k - e_{k-1}.$$

Observe that $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is increasing, and therefore $d_kd_l = 0$ for $k \neq l$ and $d_k^2 = d_k$. Note that $e_k \leq e_q^{(2^k)}$ for $k > 0$ and $e_k \leq e_p^{(2^k)}$ for $k \leq 0$, hence $e_kT_\alpha(x)e_k \leq 2^k$ for all k. If $k > 0$ then, using $\kappa_{p,q} \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e_k^\perp) &\leq \sum_{l\geq k}\sigma((e_q^{(2^l)})^\perp) \\ &\leq \sum_{l\geq k} C_q^q 2^{-lq}\tau(f) \leq 2^{-kq}C_q^q\frac{1}{1-2^{-q}}\tau(f) \leq 2^{-kq}\tau(f), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and in particular it follows that $e_k \uparrow 1$ for $k \to \infty$. Moreover, if $k \leq 0$, then again using $\kappa_{p,q} \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e_k^{\perp}) &\leq \sum_{0 \geq l \geq k} \sigma((e_p^{(2^l)})^{\perp}) + \sum_{l \geq 0} \sigma((e_q^{(2^l)})^{\perp}) \\ &\leq 2^{-kp}(C_p^p(1-2^{-p})^{-1} + C_q^q(1-2^{-q})^{-1})\tau(f) \leq 2^{-kp}\tau(f). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Finally, we set $e_{-\infty} := \wedge_{k \leq 0}\, e_k$. Since $e_k \uparrow 1$,

$$e_0 = \sum_{k \leq 0} d_k + e_{-\infty}, \qquad e_0^{\perp} = \sum_{k > 0} d_k. \tag{22}$$

Set $K_{p,p',q,q'} = 4\max\{\gamma_{p,p'}, \delta_{q,q'}\}$ and let $(a_N)_{N \geq 1}$ be the sequence in $\mathcal{M}_+$ given by

$$a_N = K_{p,p',q,q'}\Big(\sum_{-\infty < k \leq 0} 2^{(k-1)p/p'} d_k + \sum_{0 < k \leq N} 2^{(k-1)q/q'} d_k\Big).$$

As our candidate for the sought operator $a \in S(\sigma)_+$ we would like to define $a := \lim_{N \to \infty} a_N$. To show that this limit exists in $S(\sigma)$, we will first show that the estimate (19) is satisfied for $a = a_N$, uniformly in N. Since the coefficients of the d_k are increasing, we find using (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(a_N) = K_{p,p',q,q'}\Big(&\sum_{k \leq 0} 2^{(k-1)p/p'} \chi_{[\sigma(e_N - e_k), \sigma(e_N - e_{k-1}))} \\ &+ \sum_{0 < k \leq N-1} 2^{(k-1)q/q'} \chi_{[\sigma(e_N - e_k), \sigma(e_N - e_{k-1}))} + 2^{(N-1)q/q'} \chi_{[0, \sigma(e_N - e_{N-1}))}\Big) \\ \leq K_{p,p',q,q'}\Big(&\sum_{k \leq 0} 2^{(k-1)p/p'} \chi_{[\sigma(1 - e_k), \sigma(1 - e_{k-1}))} \\ &+ \sum_{0 < k \leq N-1} 2^{(k-1)q/q'} \chi_{[\sigma(1 - e_k), \sigma(1 - e_{k-1}))} + 2^{(N-1)q/q'} \chi_{[0, \sigma(1 - e_{N-1}))}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

and by applying (20) and (21) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(a_N) \leq K_{p,p',q,q'}\Big(&\sum_{k \leq 0} 2^{(k-1)p/p'} \chi_{[2^{-kp}\tau(f), 2^{-(k-1)p}\tau(f))} \\ &+ \sum_{0 < k < N} 2^{(k-1)q/q'} \chi_{[2^{-kq}\tau(f), 2^{-(k-1)q}\tau(f))} + 2^{(N-1)q/q'} \chi_{[0, 2^{-(N-1)q}\tau(f))}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

If $k \leq 0$ and $2^{-kp}\tau(f) \leq t < 2^{-(k-1)p}\tau(f)$, then

$$2^{k-1} < \Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$$

and therefore

$$2^{(k-1)p/p'} < \Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{p'}}$$

For all $k > 0$ and $2^{-kq}\tau(f) \leq t < 2^{-(k-1)q}\tau(f)$ we find

$$2^{k-1} < \Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{q}}$$

and so

$$2^{(k-1)q/q'} < \Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{q'}}.$$

We conclude that for any $t > 0$,

$$\mu_t(a_N) \leq K_{p,p',q,q'}\Big(\Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \chi_{[\tau(f), \infty)}(t) + \Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{q'}} \chi_{(0, \tau(f))}(t)\Big)$$

$$\leq K_{p,p',q,q'}\theta_{p',q'}\Big(\frac{t}{\tau(f)}\Big).$$

Since this inequality holds uniformly in N, we conclude that $(a_N)_{N\geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence which is bounded in measure. Hence, by [12], Theorem 5.10 there exists an $a\in S(\sigma)_+$ such that $a_N\uparrow a$ in $S(\sigma)_+$. We claim that a has the asserted properties. Since $\mu(a_N)\uparrow\mu(a)$ ([12], Proposition 6.5) it is clear that (19) holds. Since $T_\alpha(f)\geq 0$, we know that (see e.g. [6], Lemma 5.9)

$$T_\alpha(f)\leq 2e_0T_\alpha(f)e_0+2e_0^{\perp}T_\alpha(f)e_0^{\perp}.$$

For any ξ in the domain $D(a^{\frac{1}{2}})$ of $a^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have

$$\|a^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2=\lim_{N\to\infty}\langle a_N\xi,\xi\rangle=K_{p,p',q,q'}\Big(\sum_{k\leq 0}2^{(k-1)p/p'}\langle d_k\xi,\xi\rangle+\sum_{k>0}2^{(k-1)q/q'}\langle d_k\xi,\xi\rangle\Big).$$

Notice that $e_{-\infty}T_\alpha(f)e_{-\infty}=e_{-\infty}e_kT_\alpha(f)e_ke_{-\infty}\leq 2^ke_{-\infty}$ for all $k\leq 0$ and therefore $e_{-\infty}T_\alpha(f)e_{-\infty}=0$. Moreover,

$$\|e_0T_\alpha(f)e_{-\infty}\|_\infty\leq\|e_0T_\alpha(f)e_0\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}\|e_{-\infty}T_\alpha(f)e_{-\infty}\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}=0.$$

Together with (22) this implies that any $\xi\in D(a^{\frac{1}{2}})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_0T_\alpha(f)e_0\xi,\xi\rangle &= \Big\langle\Big(\sum_{k\leq 0}d_k\Big)T_\alpha(f)\Big(\sum_{l\leq 0}d_k\Big)\xi,\xi\Big\rangle\\ &\leq \sum_{k,l\leq 0}\|d_kT_\alpha(f)d_l\|_\infty\ \|d_k\xi\|\ \|d_l\xi\|\\ &\leq \sum_{k,l\leq 0}\|d_kT_\alpha(f)d_k\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \|d_lT_\alpha(f)d_l\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \|d_k\xi\|\ \|d_l\xi\|\\ &= \Big(\sum_{k\leq 0}\|d_kT_\alpha(f)d_k\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \|d_k\xi\|\Big)^2. \qquad (23)\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|d_kT_\alpha(f)d_k\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq 2^{\frac{k}{2}}=2^{1/2}2^{(k-1)p/2p'}2^{(k-1)(1-\frac{p}{p'})/2}$$

we find by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in (23),

$$\langle e_0T_\alpha(f)e_0\xi,\xi\rangle\leq 2\gamma_{p,p'}\sum_{k\leq 0}2^{(k-1)p/p'}\|d_k\xi\|^2=\Big\langle\sum_{k\leq 0}2\gamma_{p,p'}2^{(k-1)p/p'}d_k\xi,\xi\Big\rangle.$$

By similar reasoning,

$$\langle e_0^{\perp}T_\alpha(f)e_0^{\perp}\xi,\xi\rangle\leq\Big\langle\sum_{k>0}2\delta_{q,q'}2^{(k-1)q/q'}d_k\xi,\xi\Big\rangle.$$

Putting these estimates together we conclude that $\xi\in D(T_\alpha(f)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and

$$\langle T_\alpha(f)\xi,\xi\rangle\leq\sum_{k\leq 0}4\gamma_{p,p'}2^{(k-1)p/p'}\langle d_k\xi,\xi\rangle+\sum_{k>0}4\delta_{q,q'}2^{(k-1)q/q'}\langle d_k\xi,\xi\rangle\leq\langle a\xi,\xi\rangle,$$

which establishes (14) (cf. [12], Proposition 4.5). This completes the proof in the case $q<\infty$ under the additional assumption $\kappa_{p,q}\leq 1$.

In the general case, define $\tilde{T}_\alpha(f)=\kappa_{p,q}^{-1}T_\alpha(f)$. If $e^{(\theta)}$ satisfies (11), then $\tilde{e}^{(\theta)}:=e^{(\kappa_{p,q}\theta)}$ satisfies, for $r=p,q$,

$$\tau((\tilde{e}^{(\theta)})^{\perp})\leq(C_r\kappa_{p,q}^{-1}\theta^{-1})^r\tau(f)\ \text{ and }\ \tilde{e}^{(\theta)}\tilde{T}_\alpha(f)\tilde{e}^{(\theta)}\leq\theta,\ \text{for all }\alpha\in A.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\kappa}_{p,q}\leq 1$ and by the above we find an $\tilde{a}\in S(\sigma)_+$ such that $\tilde{T}_\alpha(f)\leq\tilde{a}$ for all $\alpha\in A$ and

$$\mu_t(\tilde{a})\leq K_{p,p',q,q'}S_{p,q}\mu(f)(t)\qquad(t>0).$$

The operator $a:=\kappa_{p,q}\tilde{a}$ has the desired properties.

Suppose now that $q=\infty$. Let us first note that if $\tau(f)=\infty$, then $\mu(f)=\chi_{[0,\infty)}$ and we can take $a=C_\infty 1$. If $\tau(f)<\infty$, then we may assume that $\kappa_{p,\infty}\le 1$. For $k\le 0$ we set

$$e_k=\Big(\bigwedge_{0\ge l\ge k}e_p^{(2^l)}\Big)$$

and let $d_k=e_k-e_{k-1}$ as before. We define $a\in\mathcal{N}_+$ to be the operator

$$a=2e_0^\perp+\sum_{k\le 0}4\gamma_{p,p'}2^{(k-1)p/p'}d_k.$$

By following the argument presented above one shows that a satisfies (14) and (15). The details are left to the reader. □

Remark 4.2. *If $\mathcal{N}$ is commutative, then one can show using essentially the same arguments that $a\in S(\sigma)_+$ defined by*

$$a=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{k+1}d_k$$

satisfies (14) and

$$\mu_t(a)\le 4\kappa_{p,q}S_{p,q}\mu(f)(t)\qquad (t>0).$$

In this case one uses that $d_kT_\alpha(f)d_l=0$ whenever $k\neq l$.

In order to obtain interpolation results for noncommutative maximal operators, we need to extend Lemma 4.1 from projections to arbitrary measurable operators. We achieve this by representing a given measurable operator x as a series of weighted projections and applying Lemma 4.1 term-wise.

Theorem 4.3. *Fix $1\le p<q\le\infty$. Let $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ be a net of order preserving, sublinear operators which is of restricted weak types (p,p) and (q,q). Let $p<p'$ and, if $q<\infty$, we fix $q'<q$. Then for any $x\in L^{p',1}(\mathcal{M})_++L^{q',1}(\mathcal{M})_+$ there exists an $a\in S(\sigma)_+$ such that $T_\alpha(x)\le a$ for all $\alpha\in A$ and*

$$\mu(a)\prec\prec 4\kappa_{p,q}K_{p,p',q,q'}\ S_{p',q'}\mu(x).\tag{24}$$

If $q=\infty$, then for any $x\in L^{p',1}(\mathcal{M})_++\mathcal{M}_+$ there exists an $a\in S(\sigma)_+$ satisfying (24) with $q'=\infty$ and $T_\alpha(x)\le a$ for all $\alpha\in A$.

Proof. Suppose first that $x\in L^{p',1}(\mathcal{M})$. Let $\lambda(x)$ be the spectral measure of x. For any $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, define $f_k=\lambda_{(2^k,\infty)}(x)$ and consider the dyadic discretization $\hat{x}=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{j+1}\lambda_{(2^j,2^{j+1}]}(x)$. Clearly, $x\le\hat{x}\le 2x$. By summation by parts,

$$\hat{x}=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k\le j}2^k\lambda_{(2^j,2^{j+1}]}(x)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j\ge k}2^k\lambda_{(2^j,2^{j+1}]}(x)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}2^kf_k.$$

Also, by (5) and summation by parts

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\hat{x})&=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{j+1}\chi_{[\tau(f_{j+1}),\tau(f_j))}\\ &=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k\le j}(2^{k+1}-2^k)\chi_{[\tau(f_{j+1}),\tau(f_j))}=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}2^k\chi_{[0,\tau(f_k))}=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}2^k\mu(f_k).\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

Let $\hat{a}_k\in S(\sigma)_+$ be the operator obtained by applying Lemma 4.1 to f_k. For $N\ge 1$ define $\hat{x}_N=\sum_{k=-N}^N2^kf_k$ and set $a_N=\sum_{k=-N}^N2^k\hat{a}_k$. By sublinearity of T_α,

$$T_\alpha(\hat{x}_N)\le\sum_{k=-N}^N2^kT_\alpha(f_k)\le a_N.$$

By (6),

$$\mu(a_N) \prec\prec \sum_{k=-N}^{N} 2^k \mu(\hat{a}_k).$$

Using lemma 4.1, linearity of $S_{p',q'}$ and (25) we find for any $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=-N}^{N} 2^k \mu_t(\hat{a}_k) &\leq \kappa_{p,q} K_{p,p',q,q'} \sum_{k=-N}^{N} 2^k S_{p',q'}\mu(f_k)(t)\\ &\leq \kappa_{p,q} K_{p,p',q,q'}\ S_{p',q'}\mu(\hat{x})(t)\\ &\leq 2\kappa_{p,q} K_{p,p',q,q'}\ S_{p',q'}\mu(x)(t).\end{aligned}$$

This shows in particular that $(a_N)_{N\geq 1}$ is increasing and bounded in measure. Therefore, by [12], Theorem 5.10 there exists an $a \in S(\sigma)_+$ such that $a_N \uparrow a$ in $S(\sigma)_+$. Since $\mu(a_N) \uparrow \mu(a)$, we conclude by monotone convergence that (24) holds. It is clear that $T_\alpha(\hat{x}_N) \leq a$ for all $N \geq 1$. Note that T_α is of M-weak type (p', p'), with M-weak type constant bounded by $C_p(p'-1)^{-1}$, by the same argument as in the commutative case ([2], Theorem 5.3). Therefore,

$$\|T_\alpha(\hat{x}) - T_\alpha(\hat{x}_N)\|_{p',\infty} \leq \|T_\alpha(\hat{x} - \hat{x}_N)\|_{p',\infty} \lesssim_{p,p'} \|\hat{x} - \hat{x}_N\|_{p',1} \to 0,$$

as $N \to \infty$ by dominated convergence. In particular, $T_\alpha(\hat{x}_N) \to T_\alpha(\hat{x})$ in measure. Since T_α is order preserving, we conclude that

$$T_\alpha(x) \leq T_\alpha(\hat{x}) \leq a. \tag{26}$$

The result follows analogously if $x \in L^{q',1}(\mathcal{M})$ if $q' < q < \infty$.

Suppose now that $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ and $q = \infty$. Let N^* be such that $2^{N^*} \leq \|x\|_\infty \leq 2^{N^*+1}$ and define for all $N \geq 1$ the operator $a_N = \sum_{-N\leq k\leq N^*} 2^k \hat{a}_k$ in $\mathcal{N}_+$. By the argument above, the operator $a = \lim_{N\to\infty} a_N$ is well-defined in $S(\sigma)_+$ and

$$\mu(a) \prec\prec 2\kappa_{p,\infty} K_{p,p',\infty,\infty}\ S_{p',\infty}\mu(x)$$

Since T_α is sublinear and order preserving, we have for any $N \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}T_\alpha(\hat{x}) &\leq T_\alpha\Big(\sum_{k\leq -N} 2^k f_k\Big) + \sum_{k=-N+1}^{N^*} 2^k T_\alpha(f_k)\\ &\leq 2^{-N+1} T_\alpha(\lambda_{(0,\infty)}(x)) + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^k T_\alpha(f_k) \leq 2^{-N+1} C_\infty \mathbf{1} + a.\end{aligned}$$

As this holds for all $N \geq 1$, we conclude that again (26) holds.

Finally, let $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in L^{p',1}(\mathcal{M})_+$ and $x_2 \in L^{q',1}(\mathcal{M})_+$ (or $x_2 \in \mathcal{M}_+$ if $q = \infty$) and let $a_1, a_2 \in S(\sigma)_+$ be two operators verifying the asserted properties for x_1, x_2. Set $a = a_1 + a_2$, then $T_\alpha(x) \leq a$ and moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu(a) \prec\prec \mu(a_1) + \mu(a_2)\\ &\quad \prec\prec 2\kappa_{p,q} K_{p,p',q,q'}\ S_{p',q'}(\mu(x_1) + \mu(x_2)) \leq 4\kappa_{p,q} K_{p,p',q,q'}\ S_{p',q'}(\mu(x)).\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

Remark 4.4. *One cannot replace (24) in Theorem 4.3 by the stronger assertion*

$$\mu(a) \leq 4\kappa_{p,q} K_{p,p',q,q'}\ S_{p',q'}\mu(x).$$

Indeed, if $\mathcal{N}$ is commutative this would mean that

$$\mu(a) \lesssim_{p,q} S_{p,q}\mu(x),$$

as $K_{p,p',q,q'}$ is not singular for $p' \downarrow p$ or $q' \uparrow q$ in this case. In particular, by Calderón's characterization (8) this would imply that every maximal operator of *restricted weak types* $(1,1)$ *and* (∞,∞) *is in fact of weak types* $(1,1)$ *and* (∞,∞). *However, this is not true (see e.g. [9] for a counterexample).*

5. INTERPOLATION OF NONCOMMUTATIVE MAXIMAL INEQUALITIES

To extract interpolation results from Theorem 4.3 we recall the fundamental connection, due to Boyd [3], between Boyd's indices and Calderón's operators. The Boyd indices of a symmetric Banach function space E on $\mathbb{R}_+$ are defined by

$$p_E = \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{\log s}{\log \|D_{1/s}\|} \quad \text{and} \quad q_E = \lim_{s\downarrow 0} \frac{\log s}{\log \|D_{1/s}\|},$$

where $D_{1/s}$ is the dilation operator $(D_{1/s}g)(t) = g(t/s),\ t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Theorem 5.1. *[3] If* E *is a symmetric Banach function space on* $\mathbb{R}_+$*, then the following hold.*

(a) *If* $1 \le p < q < \infty$*, then* $S_{p,q}$ *is bounded on* E *if and only if* $p < p_E \le q_E < q$.
(b) *If* $1 \le p < \infty$*, then* $S_{p,\infty}$ *is bounded on* E *if and only if* $p < p_E$.

By combining Theorems 4.3 and 5.1 we obtain the following interpolation theorem, which extends [1], Theorem 5.4, as well as [6], Theorem 6.5, to a larger class of noncommutative maximal operators. More importantly, we find a better interpolation constant.

Theorem 5.2. *Let* $1 \le p < q \le \infty$ *and let* E *be a fully symmetric Banach function space. Let* $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ *be a net of order preserving, sublinear maps which is of restricted weak types* (p,p) *and* (q,q). *Let* $\kappa_{p,q}$ *be the constant in (13) and* $K_{p,p',q,q'}$ *be the constant in (16). If* $p < p' < p_E$ *and either* $q_E < q' < q < \infty$ *or* $q = \infty$*, then for any* $x \in E(\mathcal{M})_+$,

$$\|(T_\alpha(x))_{\alpha\in A}\|_{E(\mathcal{N};l^\infty)} \le 4\kappa_{p,q}K_{p,p',q,q'}\|S_{p',q'}\|_{E\to E}\ \|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. If $x \in E(\mathcal{M})_+$ then $x \in L^{p',1}(\mathcal{M})_+ + L^{q',1}(\mathcal{M})_+$ if $q < \infty$ and $x \in L^{p',1}(\mathcal{M})_+ + \mathcal{M}_+$ if $q = \infty$ by the assumptions on p_E and q_E. Let $a \in S(\sigma)_+$ be the operator given by Theorem 4.3. Since E is fully symmetric, it follows from (24) and Theorem 5.1 that $a \in E(\mathcal{M})_+$ and

$$\|a\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \le 4\kappa_{p,q}K_{p,p',q,q'}\|S_{p',q'}\mu(x)\|_E \le 4\kappa_{p,q}K_{p,p',q,q'}\|S_{p',q'}\|_{E\to E}\ \|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}.$$

Thus, $(T_\alpha(x))$ is in $E(\mathcal{N};l^\infty)$ and (27) holds. □

The following Marcinkiewicz-type interpolation theorem for noncommutative maximal operators is a special case of Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.3. *Fix* $1 \le p < p' < r < q' < q \le \infty$. *If* $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ *is a net of order preserving, sublinear maps which is simultaneously of restricted weak types* (p,p) *and* (q,q) *with constants* C_p *and* C_q*, then for any* $x \in L^r(\mathcal{M})_+$,

$$\|(T_\alpha(x))_{\alpha\in A}\|_{L^r(\mathcal{N};l^\infty)} \lesssim \max\{C_p, C_q\}\Big(\frac{p'}{p'-p}+\frac{q'}{q-q'}\Big)\Big(\frac{r}{r-p'}+\frac{r}{q'-r}\Big)\ \|x\|_{L^r(\mathcal{M})}.$$

In particular,

$$\|(T_\alpha(x))_{\alpha\in A}\|_{L^r(\mathcal{N};l^\infty)} \lesssim \max\{C_p, C_q\}\Big(\frac{rp}{r-p}+\frac{rq}{q-r}\Big)^2\ \|x\|_{L^r(\mathcal{M})}. \tag{28}$$

Proof. Using Hardy's inequalities (see e.g. [2], Lemma III.3.9) one readily shows that

$$\|S_{p',q'}\|_{L^r\to L^r} \le \Big(\frac{r}{r-p'}+\frac{r}{q'-r}\Big). \tag{29}$$

Since $p_{L^r} = q_{L^r} = r$, the first assertion is now immediate from Theorem 5.2. Taking $p' = p/2 + r/2$ and $q' = r/2 + q/2$ yields (28). □

Let us compare this result to Theorem 3.3. Thanks to the strong type assumption on the right endpoint, the interpolation constant in (12) does not depend on q. Also the dependence on the constants C_p and C_q is clearly better than in (28). On the other hand, Corollary 5.3 requires only a restricted weak type assumption on both endpoints, which is easier to verify in practice, and the interpolation constant is of the right order under these conditions. Also, it is clear that the general interpolation result in Theorem 5.2 cannot be obtained using the real interpolation techniques used in [11].

As an illustration of our interpolation results, we deduce Doob's maximal inequality. This result was obtained in [11] for $E = L^p$ and, using a different (duality) argument, for more general symmetric Banach function spaces in [6]. The proof here removes some unnecessary assumptions on E from [6], Theorem 6.7.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra and let $(\mathcal{E}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of conditional expectations in $\mathcal{M}$. If E is a symmetric Banach function space on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with $p_E > 1$, then there is a constant C_E depending only on E such that*

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n(x))_{n\geq 1}\|_{E(\mathcal{M};l^\infty)} \leq C_E \|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \qquad (x \in E(\mathcal{M})).$$

If $p > 1$ then C_{L^p} is of optimal order $\mathcal{O}((p-1)^{-2})$ as $p \downarrow 1$.

Proof. If $p_E > 1$, then E is fully symmetric up to a constant, i.e., if $g \in S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $h \in E$ satisfy $g \prec\prec h$, then $g \in E$ and $\|g\|_E \lesssim_E \|h\|_E$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.6 in [7]). Since $(\mathcal{E}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is of M-weak type $(1,1)$ (cf. [5]) and strong type (∞,∞), the result now follows from Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3. □

To conclude this paper, we deduce an interpolation theorem for the generalized moments of noncommutative maximal operators from Theorem 4.3. Recall the following definitions. Let $\Phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty]$ be a convex Orlicz function, i.e., a continuous, convex and increasing function satisfying $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}\Phi(t) = \infty$. The *Orlicz space* L_Φ is the subspace of all f in $S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that for some $k > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \Phi\Big(\frac{|f(t)|}{k}\Big)dt < \infty.$$

We may equip L_Φ with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_{L_\Phi} = \inf\Big\{k > 0 \ : \ \int_0^\infty \Phi\Big(\frac{|f(t)|}{k}\Big)dt \leq 1\Big\}.$$

Under this norm L_Φ is a symmetric Banach function space [2]. We define the indices of Φ by

$$p_\Phi = \sup\Big\{p > 0 \ : \ \int_0^t s^{-p}\Phi(s)\frac{ds}{s} \simeq_p t^{-p}\Phi(t) \text{ for all } t > 0\Big\},$$
$$q_\Phi = \inf\Big\{q > 0 \ : \ \int_t^\infty s^{-q}\Phi(s)\frac{ds}{s} \simeq_q t^{-q}\Phi(t) \text{ for all } t > 0\Big\}.$$

We say that Φ satisfies the global Δ_2-condition if for some constant $C > 0$,

$$\Phi(2t) \leq C\Phi(t) \qquad (t \geq 0). \tag{30}$$

It is known that p_Φ and q_Φ coincide with the Boyd indices of L_Φ. Moreover, one may show that (30) holds if and only if $q_\Phi < \infty$. For more details we refer to the discussion in [6].

Theorem 5.5. *Let $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ and let Φ be an Orlicz function satisfying the global Δ_2-condition. Let $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ be a net of order preserving, sublinear maps which is of restricted weak types (p,p) and (q,q). If $p < p_\Phi$ and either $q_\Phi < q < \infty$ or*

$q = \infty$, then for any $x \in L_\Phi(\mathcal{M})_+$ there exists an $a \in L_\Phi(\mathcal{N})_+$ such that $T_\alpha(x) \le a$ for all $\alpha \in A$ and

$$\sigma(\Phi(a)) \lesssim_{p,q,\Phi} \tau(\Phi(x)).$$

Proof. Suppose that $q < \infty$. Fix $p < p' < \tilde{p} < p_\Phi \le q_\Phi < \tilde{q} < q' < q$. Let $x \in L_\Phi(\mathcal{M})_+$ and let $a \in S(\sigma)_+$ be the operator provided by Theorem 4.3. We know that

$$\mu(a) \le H\mu(a) \lesssim_{p,p',q,q'} HS_{p',q'}\mu(x).$$

By (29) $S_{p',q'}$ and $H = S_{1,\infty}$ are bounded on $L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $L^{\tilde{q}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By [16], Theorem 2 (see also [6], Theorem 4.4), we can now conclude that $a \in L_\Phi(\mathcal{N})_+$ and

$$\sigma(\Phi(a)) \lesssim_{p,p',q,q',\Phi} \int_0^\infty \Phi(HS_{p',q'}\mu(x)(t))dt \lesssim_{p',\tilde{p},q',\tilde{q},\Phi} \int_0^\infty \Phi(\mu_t(x))dt = \tau(\Phi(x)).$$

The proof in the case $q = \infty$ is similar. □

In the special case that (T_α) is of M-weak type (p,p) and strong type (∞,∞), the above result was obtained in [1], Theorem 3.2. The general case proved here affirmatively answers an open question in this paper ([1], Remark 3.3 (2)).

References

[1] T. Bekjan, Z. Chen, and A. Osękowski. Noncommutative moment maximal inequalities. ArXiv: 1108.2795.

[2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley. *Interpolation of operators*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.

[3] D. Boyd. Indices of function spaces and their relationship to interpolation. *Canad. J. Math.*, 21:1245–1254, 1969.

[4] A.-P. Calderón. Spaces between L^1 and L^∞ and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz. *Studia Math.*, 26:273–299, 1966.

[5] I. Cuculescu. Martingales on von Neumann algebras. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 1(1):17–27, 1971.

[6] S. Dirksen. Noncommutative and vector-valued Boyd interpolation theorems. arXiv:1203.1653.

[7] S. Dirksen, B. de Pagter, D. Potapov, and F. Sukochev. Rosenthal inequalities in noncommutative symmetric spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(10):2890–2925, 2011.

[8] T. Fack and H. Kosaki. Generalized s-numbers of τ-measurable operators. *Pacific J. Math.*, 123(2):269–300, 1986.

[9] P. Hagelstein and R. Jones. On restricted weak type $(1,1)$: the continuous case. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(1):185–190, 2005.

[10] M. Junge. Doob's inequality for non-commutative martingales. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 549:149–190, 2002.

[11] M. Junge and Q. Xu. Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20(2):385–439, 2007.

[12] B. de Pagter. Non-commutative Banach function spaces. In *Positivity*, Trends Math., pages 197–227. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.

[13] G. Pisier. Non-commutative vector valued L_p-spaces and completely p-summing maps. *Astérisque*, (247):vi+131, 1998.

[14] E. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy.

[15] E. Stein and G. Weiss. An extension of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz and some of its applications. *J. Math. Mech.*, 8:263–284, 1959.

[16] A. Zygmund. On a theorem of Marcinkiewicz concerning interpolation of operations. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 35:223–248, 1956.

Universität Bonn, Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: `sjoerd.dirksen@hcm.uni-bonn.de`